as they should. The correcting of this trouble by Nature's Remedy, Allgland Tablets, does more good and prevents other troubles in later years. Lack of Development in Children * * * A child that overgrows shows that the glandular system is unbalanced just as much as an undergrowth does, and the same treatment applies to both. If you are all run-down and worn out, take two tablets before meals and at bedtime. They will make you a stronger man or woman and will make you feel younger. * * * As soon as you notice relief * * * Being a food to the body, nerves, blood and glands, it corrects diseases. It prevents diseases or it gives relief in all diseased conditions. It has proved very successful in Diabetes, Bright's Disease, Hardened Blood Vessels, High Blood Pressure, getting old too soon, all Rundown Conditions from diseases or overwork or worry, Hot Flashes or any Female Trouble. The best thing for Goitre in young women, a real developer of children both in body and brain and a real rejuvenator. They make you a healthy, real man, woman and child. If anything alls you take them, they will do no harm," were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the curative and therapeutic effects claimed.

On November 5, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the

court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

14725. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. 2,900 Cases of Salmon. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21299. I. S. No. 907-x. S. No. W-2019.)

On September 20, 1926, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 2,900 cases of canned salmon, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Portland, Oreg., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Bristol Bay Packing Co., from Naknek, Alaska, on or about August 8, 1926, and transported from the Territory of Alaska into the State of Oregon, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On November 6, 1926, the Bristol Bay Packing Co. having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$11,500, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the law under the supervision of this department.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

TA T. ---- Nonnataur of Americanterno

14726. Misbranding of meat scrap. U. S. v. 350 Bags of Wheat (Meat) Scrap. Product released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. No. 20959. I. S. No. 10662-x. S. No. W-1928.)

On March 24, 1926, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 350 bags of wheat (meat) scrap, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Petaluma, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by J. T. Stanley Co., Inc., from New York, N. Y., January 29, 1926, and transported from the State of New York into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was invoiced as "25 tons 45–50% Protein Meat Scraps."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded, in that it was sold under the distinctive name of another article, namely, "45–50% Protein." Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On August 13, 1926, the Hart-Hill Grain Co., San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property and having relabeled the product and given bond for its release, it was ordered by the court that the said

product be delivered to the claimant.